

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/618,432	07/10/2003	Susan H. Matthews Brown	017242-002272US	5606
20350 7	590 09/27/2004		EXAMINER	
TOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND AND CREW, LLP			GROSZ, ALEXANDER	
	TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER EIGHTH FLOOR			PAPER NUMBER
SAN FRANCI	SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111-3834		3673	
			DATE MAILED: 09/27/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1 .	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summer	10/618,432	MATTHEWS BROWN, SUSAN H.		
` Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Alexander Grosz	3673		
Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nety filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>1</u> /03			
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This	action is non-final.			
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims				
4) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 1003 is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11.	epted or b) (objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage		
Attachment(s)				
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1920/03	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	te atent Application (PTO-152)		

Application/Control Number: 10/618,432

Art Unit: 3673

The status of the related applications, throughout the specification (e.g. paragraphs "0001", "0053", "0088", "0093", "0094") must be updated (e.g. with patent numbers).

In claim 15, line 2, "comprising" must be changed to -comprised-.

Claim 15 is objected to, since in line 2 of the claim 'coupled" should be -grasped-.

Fig. 22 appears to be an informal drawing. A replacement sheet is required.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-3, 5-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Mathews-Brown, US 2001/0037957A1, published on November 8, 2001.

The fabric portions 136, 138 of the handle inherently define "flaps" adapted to manipulate the pillow.

Claims 4, 10-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over US 2001/0037957A1.

If the "flaps" (136, 138) are not sewn between a top and bottom piece, as set forth in claims 4 and 13, then it would have been obvious to one ordinarily skilled in the

Art Unit: 3673

art at the time the invention was made to have positioned them so, in view of the teachings in paragraph [0075] of the publication. Ditto with the "method steps" of claims 10-18, in view of the teachings of paragraph [0077] and claim 10 of the publication.

Plate (note flaps 30), Stadel (note flap on outer edge of pillow); Simmons et al (note flap in figure 2); Matthews No. 5546,620 published on 8/20/96 (note figs 2, 3 and, straps or flaps 50, 50' an 50"), Simon (note straps or flaps 34), Oliveira (note flaps 14), Genis (note flap or loop 14) and Matthews et al 6,038,720 (note flaps 122, 124, 126, 104, 106 etc) are cited as relevant art.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Alex Grosz at telephone number (703) 308-2498.

Grosz/vs September 20, 2004 ALEXANDER GROSZ PRIMARY EXAMINER